Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/768,131	BALASUBRAMANIAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Eric Wendler	2824
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) Eric Wendler.	(3)	
(2) Mr. Alan Stewart.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 September 2005	Time: <u>2:00 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	plicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 8-11, 20		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		·
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum 	The examiner will provide a ate record of the substance	of the interview, since the interview
	·	
Ein J Worden 9/21/05		
	cant/Applicant's Representat	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant had canceled claim 8 and inserted the subject matter into claim 1, yet failed to amend claims that were originally dependent upon claim 8 to be dependent upon claim 1. Attorney expressed that the intention was for those claims to be dependent upon claim 1.